

United States District Court Northern District of Illinois
MOTION FOR LEAVE TO APPEAR PRO HAC VICE

Case Title:	Air Line Pilots Association, International	Plaintiff(s)
	VS.	
	Frontier Airlines, Inc.	Defendant(s)
Case Number: 1:18-cv-05089	Judge: Hon. Charles R. Norgle, Sr.	

I, Marcus C. Migliore hereby apply to the Court
under Local Rule 83.14 for permission to appear and participate in the above-entitled action on behalf of

Plaintiff - Air Line Pilots Association, International by whom I have been retained.

I am a member in good standing and eligible to practice before the following courts:

Title of Court	Date Admitted
PLEASE SEE ATTACHED LIST	

I have currently, or within the year preceding the date of this application, made pro hac vice applications to this Court in the following actions:

Case Number	Case Title	Date of Application (Granted or Denied)*

*If denied, please explain:
(Attach additional form if
necessary)

Pursuant to Local Rule 83.15(a), applicants who do not have an office within the Northern District of Illinois must designate, at the time of filing their initial notice or pleading, a member of the bar of this Court having an office within this District upon who service of papers may be made.

Has the applicant designated local counsel? Yes ☒ No ☐

If you have not designated local counsel, Local Rule 83.15(b) provides that the designation must be made within thirty (30) days.

Has the applicant ever been:

censured, suspended, disbarred, or otherwise disciplined by any court?

Yes ☐

No ☒

or is the applicant currently the subject of an investigation of the applicant's professional conduct?

Yes ☐

No ☒

transferred to inactive status, voluntarily withdrawn, or resigned from the bar of any court?

Yes ☐

No ☒

denied admission to the bar of any court?

Yes ☐

No ☒

held in contempt of court?

Yes ☐

No ☒

NOTE: If the answer to *any* of the above questions is yes, please attach a brief description of the incident(s) and the applicant's current status before any court, or any agency thereof, where disciplinary sanctions were imposed, or where an investigation or investigations of the applicant's conduct may have been instituted.

I have read the Rules of Professional Conduct for the Northern District of Illinois and the Standards for Professional Conduct within the Seventh Federal Judicial Circuit, and will faithfully adhere to them. I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

July 24, 2018

S/ Marcus C. Migliore

Date

Electronic Signature of Applicant

Applicant's Name	Last Name Migliore		First Name Marcus		Middle Name/Initial C
	Applicant's Law Firm Air Line Pilots Association, International				
Applicant's Address	Street Address 1625 Massachusetts Avenue, NW				Room/Suite Number
	City Washington	State DC	ZIP Code 20036	Work Phone Number 202-797-4054	

(The pro hac vice admission fee is \$150.00 and shall be paid to the Clerk. No admission under Rule 83.14 is effective until such time as the fee has been paid.)

NOTE: Attorneys seeking to appear pro hac vice may wish to consider filing a petition for admission to the general bar of this Court. The fee for admission to the General Bar is \$181.00. The fee for pro hac vice admission is \$150.00. Admission to the general bar permits an attorney to practice before this Court. Pro hac vice admission entitles an attorney to appear in a particular case only. Application for such admission must be made in each case; and the admission fee must be paid in each case.

**ATTACHMENT TO APPLICATION OF MARCUS C. MIGLIORE
TO PRACTICE PRO HAC VICE PURSUANT TO LOCAL RULE 83.14**

Marcus C. Migliore is admitted to practice and is in good standing in the following federal courts:

<u>COURT</u>	<u>DATE OF ADMISSION</u>
United States Court of Appeals, District of Columbia	June 1991
United States Court of Appeals, First Circuit	October 2004
United States Court of Appeals, Fourth Circuit	November 1988
United States Court of Appeals, Fifth Circuit	March 1992
United States Court of Appeals, Seventh Circuit	April 2001
United States Court of Appeals, Ninth Circuit	September 1998
United States Court of Appeals, Tenth Circuit	July 1995
United States Court of Appeals, Eleventh Circuit	May 1996
United States District Court, District of Columbia	August 1991
United States District Court, Eastern District of Wisconsin	April 2000
United States District Court, Southern District of New York	February 2001